The following performance standards, performance rubrics are taken from the Teacher candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS), a component of the official teacher candidate evaluation system in Georgia. Additional performance indicators at the proficient level have been added for some standards and are in italics and highlighted. The rubrics have NOT been modified; however, examples of evidence for teacher Candidates have been provided. Teacher Candidates are expected to perform at a minimum at the "Needs Development" and should aspire to perform at the "Proficient" level for most standards. It is expected that teacher candidates will develop during the induction years and continue to move up the continuum of performance.

Performance Standard 1: Professional Knowledge

The teacher candidate demonstrates an understanding of the curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge, and the needs of students by providing relevant learning experiences.

Performance Indicators at the Proficient Level

1.1 Addresses appropriate curriculum standards and integrates key content elements.

1.2 Facilitates students' use of higher-level thinking skills in instruction.

1.3 Demonstrates ability to link present content with past and future learning experiences, other subject areas, and real-world experiences and applications.

1.4 Demonstrates accurate, deep, and current knowledge of subject matter.

1.5 Exhibits pedagogical skills relevant to the subject area(s) taught and best practice based on current research.

1.6 Bases instruction on goals that reflect high expectations for all students and a clear understanding of the curriculum.

1.7 Displays an understanding of the intellectual, social, emotional, and physical development of the age group.

Performance Rubrics			
Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Development	Ineffective
The teacher candidate continually	The teacher candidate consistently	The teacher candidate inconsistently	The teacher candidate inadequately
demonstrates extensive content and	demonstrates an understanding of the	demonstrates understanding of	demonstrates understanding of
pedagogical knowledge, enriches the	curriculum, subject content, pedagogical	curriculum, subject content, pedagogical	curriculum, subject content,
curriculum, and guides others in enriching	knowledge, and the needs of students by	knowledge, and student needs, or lacks	pedagogical knowledge and student
the curriculum. (Teacher candidates rated as	providing relevant learning experiences.	fluidity in using the knowledge in practice.	needs, or does not use the
Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as			knowledge in practice.
role models or teacher leaders.)			
Examples of Evidence for Teacher Candidates	at each performance level:		
 Continually makes meaningful 	 Arranges opportunities for students to 	 Sometimes attempts to connect the 	 Rarely plans instruction that
connections to students' prior knowledge	explore content knowledge in various and	assigned content to other content areas	demonstrates adequate
and stated interests that advance	complex ways. (1.2)	or makes the content relevant to the	knowledge of the assigned
learning. (1.2)	Uses students' prior knowledge and stated	students. (1.3)	content area(s), or the teacher
 Guides students to explore and report 	interests to guide instruction (1.2)	Sometimes makes connections to	candidate is unable to teach
content knowledge as independently as	The teacher candidate consistently connects	students' prior knowledge and stated	content using effective
possible and to apply what they have	the assigned content to other content areas	interests. (1.2)	instructional methodology. (1.1)
learned in new ways. (1.2)	and makes the content relevant to the	 Occasionally includes opportunities to 	 Rarely attempts to connect the
 Gives assignments that have students 	students. (1.3)	explore and discover knowledge and	assigned content to other content
laying groundwork for upcoming topics,	 Provides background information relative to 	report discoveries to others. (1.2)	areas or to make the content
helps them evaluate sources, and then	topic of discussion and is able to direct	 Gives background material and 	relevant to the students. (1.3)
guides them to see connections. (1.4)	students to appropriate sources to retrieve	information for new content, but does	 Rarely plans instruction that
	additional information		demonstrates adequate

 Seeks ways to use various materials, 	 Demonstrates ability to model information 	not encourage students to find or	knowledge of the students'
resources, technologies, and research	evaluation techniques and to facilitate and	consider material on their own. (1.4)	developmental needs or
skills in lessons based on best practice and	direct students' thought processes (1.4)	 Uses a variety of resources and 	backgrounds. (1.7)
adapts teaching to support students when	 Selects and uses materials, resources, 	materials, but uses them in limited	Rarely makes connections to other
assessment indicate a lack of	technologies, and research skills appropriate	ways; does not include best practice	content areas or references why
understanding or a need for extension.	for subject discipline.	from current research. (1.5)	the content is relevant to
(1.5)	Plans appropriate intervention when students	Sometimes encourages students to use	students.
 Continually makes connections between 	indicate a lack of understanding.	higher order thinking and complete	Rarely/ formulates key questions
content area and to real life experiences	Adapts teaching methodologies based on	assignments that allow them to analyze,	to develop and clarify the need for
and encourages students to make these	unexpected but welcomed teachable	synthesize, and evaluate. (1.2)	information. (Relevance)
connections. (1.3)	moments (1.5)	Lesson plans need to more closely	Rarely models verbal and
 Continual evidence of differentiated 	Plans instruction that demonstrates strong	match the learning needs of the	nonverbal communication that
instruction to facilitate the learning of all	knowledge of the students' developmental	students. Varied instructional strategies	demonstrates respect for and
students. (1.6)	needs or backgrounds. (1.7)	need to be included.	responsiveness to cultural
• Continually uses best practice and current	 Designs teaching and learning strategies and 	 Clear and strong connections to other 	backgrounds. (1.7)
research to create lessons that avoid	assignments that require students to relate	content areas and real life experiences	 Provides little time for students to
impediments to student learning. (1.6)	one content area to another and to real life	are evident in some lessons. (1.3)	contribute knowledge from
• Continually uses the curriculum as a tool	experiences (1.3)	 Sometimes connects key concepts to 	experiences.
to set high expectations for all students	 Encourages students to use their everyday 	prior content or students' life	 Asks students primarily for facts
and help them meet these expectations.	knowledge and experiences in classroom	experiences. Needs to explicitly state	rather than in-depth concepts.
(1.6)	discussion, activities, and assignments (1.3)	the connections in order for students to	 Content is often developmentally
Content is developmentally appropriate	 Shows evidence of differentiated instruction 	understand how to relate content and	inappropriate and reflects little
and is well planned to encourage students	to facilitate the learning of all students; (1.6)	concepts. (1.3)	understanding of the students'
to call upon their backgrounds for	 Positively mediates the fusion of student's 	 Content is sometimes developmentally 	backgrounds.
understanding. (1.7)	skills and prior knowledge to new information	appropriate and reflects a growing	Plans and implements lessons
 Models and encourages students to 	resulting in students' increased knowledge	understanding of the students'	without considering students'
communicate verbally and nonverbally in	and abilities (1.6)	backgrounds.	prior knowledge and stated
ways that demonstrate respect for and	 Uses established curriculum to create an 	Sometimes varies planning and	interests. (1.2)
responsiveness to a variety of student	environment that encourages students to set	instruction to address students' lack of	Teaches new content
differences. (1.7)	and meet academic and social growth goals	understanding and performance. (1.6)	independently, separate from
 Continually demonstrates use of a variety 	(1.6)	Sets goals and expectations for students	other information; relies on few
of resources to support positive, engaging	• Content is developmentally appropriate and	that encourage student progress, but	resources, does not encourage
activities for diverse learners.	reflects an understanding of students'	are not high enough to be challenging	students to question, evaluate, or
	backgrounds (1.7)	to all students. (1.6)	wonder about the material. (1.4)
Student Evidence	Communicates verbally and nonverbally in	 Content sometimes is developmentally 	Student assignments do not
 Students routinely participate in activities 	ways that demonstrate respect for and	appropriate and sometimes considers	encourage bringing in everyday
that involve higher order thinking	responsiveness to student differences. (1.7)	students backgrounds. (1.7)	knowledge and experience to
including analyzing, synthesizing, and	• Consistently uses a variety of resources to	 Occasionally uses a variety of resources 	classroom discussion, activities,
evaluating an idea or product. (1.2)	support positive, engaging activities for	to support positive, engaging activities	and assignments. (1.3)
 Students are active participants in 	diverse learners (1.7)	for diverse learners. (1.7)	 Little or no evidence of
assignments, leading discussions,	 Plans activities that emphasize interpretation 	Student Evidence	differentiated instruction to
researching and sharing findings. (1.2)	of knowledge and application of skills.		facilitate the learning of all
			students. (1.6)

 Students know to use everyday knowledge and experiences in classroom discussion, activities and assignments. (1.3) Strong evidence of students being able to independently fuse skills and prior knowledge to new information, yielding students' increased knowledge and abilities. (1.6) 	 Locates, evaluates, selects, and organizes information resources based on students' learning needs and the required curriculum. Plans teaching and learning strategies and assignments that require students to relate one content area to another and to real life experiences. Invites students to bring their everyday knowledge and experiences into the classroom on a regular basis. Relates stories, problems, and solutions from personal experiences to establish connections between content and real life. <u>Student Evidence</u> Students are engaged, asking and answering questions, participating in group activities, conducting research, reporting findings. (1.2) Students share their personal experiences or prior knowledge in response to prompts. Students demonstrate respect for the differences of other students. 	 Sometimes verbalize or write about connections between prior knowledge, life experiences, and current learning. 	 Little or no evidence of student goals that reflect high expectations for all learners. (1.6) Content appears to be planned without regard for what is developmentally appropriate and without understanding of students' backgrounds. (1.7) Little evidence of the use of a variety of resources to support positive, engaging activities for diverse learners. (1.7) <u>Student Evidence</u> Cannot describe how to connect content to real life experience. Students do not work in groups, ask and answer questions, discuss ideas, conduct research, and report findings. (1.2)
---	---	---	---

The following performance standards, performance rubrics are taken from the Teacher candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS), a component of the official teacher candidate evaluation system in Georgia. Additional performance indicators at the proficient level have been added for some standards and are in italics and highlighted. The rubrics have NOT been modified; however, examples of evidence for teacher Candidates have been provided. Teacher Candidates are expected to perform at a minimum at the "Needs Development" and should aspire to perform at the "Proficient" level for most standards. It is expected that teacher candidates will develop during the induction years and continue to move up the continuum of performance.

Performance Standard 2: Instructional Planning

The teacher candidate plans using state and local school district curricula and standards, effective strategies, resources, and data to address the needs of all students.

Performance Indicators at the Proficient Level

2.1 Analyzes and uses student learning data to inform planning

2.2 Develops plans that are clear, logical, sequential, and integrated across the curriculum (e.g., long-term goals, lesson plans, and syllabi).

- 2.3 Plans instruction effectively for content mastery, pacing, and transitions.
- 2.4 Plans for instruction to meet the needs of all students.
- 2.5 Aligns and connects lesson objectives to state and local school district curricula and standards, and student learning needs.

2.6 Develops appropriate course, unit, and daily plans, and is able to adapt plans when needed.

Performance Rubrics

Performance Rubrics			
Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Development	Ineffective
The teacher candidate continually seeks	The teacher candidate consistently plans	The teacher candidate inconsistently uses	The teacher candidate does not plan, or
and uses multiple data and real world	using state and local school district curricula	state and local school district curricula and	plans without adequately using state
resources to plan differentiated	and standards, effective strategies,	standards, or inconsistently uses effective	and local school district curricula and
instruction to meet the individual student	resources, and data to address the	strategies, resources, or data in planning to	standards, or without using effective
needs and interests in order to promote	differentiated needs of all students.	meet the needs of all students.	strategies, resources, or data to meet
student accountability and engagement.			the needs of all students.
Examples of Evidence for Teacher Candida	tes at each performance level:		
 Uses multiple data sources (e.g., student 	Analyzes and uses student learning data to	Identifies only prior student knowledge or	 Few or no prior student knowledge or
responses to questions, standardized	inform planning (2.1)	experiences (i.e., cognitive, linguistic, social,	experiences identified.
test results, anecdotal notes) to plan	 Develops plans that are clear, logical, 	emotional, and/or physical development)	 Develops plans that are not explicitly
differentiated instruction	sequential, and integrated across the	as it relates to the lesson	written, lack clarity, lack a clear
 Uses both class and individual student 	curriculum (e.g., long-term goals, lesson	 Develops plans that are not explicitly 	sequence for instruction, and/or do not
data to plan instruction	plans, and syllabi). (2.2)	written, lack clarity, lack a clear sequence	include sufficient detail
 Allows the students to practice at self- selected levels of learning 	 Plans instruction effectively for content mastery, pacing, and transitions. (2.3) 	for instruction, and/or do not include sufficient detail	 Promoting student learning via best practices is not evident.
 Plans instruction to accommodate the 	Plans for instruction to meet the needs of	Fails to promote higher order thinking	 No state or local standards listed
needs of learners when remediation or	all students. (2.4)	through incorporation of best practices for	 No modification of learner needs listed
extension is relevant	 Aligns and connects lesson objectives to 	instruction	
 Incorporates national (i.e., professional 	state and local school district curricula and	Unclear link between lesson outcomes and	
organizations) standards within lesson	standards, and student learning needs.	state and local school district curricula and	
plans in addition to state and local	(2.5)	standards	
school district curricula and standards			

	 Develops appropriate course, unit, and daily plans, and is able to adapt plans when needed. (2.6) 	 Attends to the needs of some students, but not all 	
Evidence/Documentation			

The following performance standards, performance rubrics are taken from the Teacher candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS), a component of the official teacher candidate evaluation system in Georgia. Additional performance indicators at the proficient level have been added for some standards and are in italics and highlighted. The rubrics have NOT been modified; however, examples of evidence for teacher Candidates have been provided. Teacher Candidates are expected to perform at a minimum at the "Needs Development" and should aspire to perform at the "Proficient" level for most standards. It is expected that teacher candidates will develop during the induction years and continue to move up the continuum of performance.

Performance Standard 3: Instructional Strategies

The teacher candidate promotes student learning by using research-based instructional strategies relevant to the content to engage students in active learning and to facilitate the students' acquisition of key knowledge and skills.

Performance Indicators at the Proficient Level

3.1 Engages students in active learning and maintains interest.

- 3.2 Builds upon students' existing knowledge and skills.
- 3.3 Reinforces learning goals consistently throughout the lesson.
- 3.4 Uses a variety of research-based instructional strategies and resources.
- 3.5 Effectively uses appropriate instructional technology to enhance student learning.
- 3.6 Communicates and presents material clearly, and checks for understanding.
- 3.7 Develops higher-order thinking through questioning and problem-solving activities.
- 3.8 Engages students in authentic learning by providing real-life examples and interdisciplinary connections.

Performance Rubrics			
Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Development	
The teacher candidate continually	The teacher candidate consistently promotes	The teacher candidate inconsistently uses	The teacher candidate does not use
facilitates students' engagement in	student learning by using research-based	research-based instructional strategies. The	research-based instructional strategies,
metacognitive learning, higher-order	instructional strategies relevant to the	strategies used are sometimes not	nor are the instructional strategies
thinking skills, and application of	content to engage students in active learning,	appropriate for the content area or for	relevant to the content area. The
learning in current and relevant ways.	and to facilitate the students' acquisition of	engaging students in active learning or for	strategies do not engage students in
(Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary	key skills.	the acquisition of key skills.	active learning or acquisition of key skills.
continually seek ways to serve as role			
models or teacher leaders.)			
Examples of Evidence for Teacher Candid	ates at each performance level:		
 Continually engages students to work 	 Consistently engages to students to work in 	 Inconsistently engages students to work in 	Does not provide students with
in collaborative groups/individually to	collaborative groups/individually to create,	collaborative groups/individually to	opportunities to work in collaborative
create, present, and research and	present, research and problem solve. (3.1)	create, present, and research and problem	groups/individually to create, present,
problem solve. (3.1)	 Consistently uses multiple strategies linked 	solve. (3.1)	and research and problem solve. (3.1)
 Continually uses multiple strategies 	to students' prior knowledge and skills and	 Inconsistently use multiple strategies or 	Does not use a single strategy linked to
linked to students' prior knowledge	background experiences and interest. (3.2)	uses only a single strategy linked to	students' prior knowledge and skills and
and skills and background experiences	 Consistently uses multiple strategies to 	students' prior knowledge and skills and	background experiences and interest.
and interest. (3.2)	address goals and build an increased	background experiences and interest. (3.2)	(3.2)

 Continually uses multiple strategies to 	proficiency in the standard by including some	Inconsistently uses one or more strategies	Does not use a strategy that addresses a
address goals and build an increased	ways of acquiring knowledge and skill. (3.3)	to address goals and build an increased	goal or builds an increased proficiency
proficiency in the standard by including	 Consistently incorporates teaching strategies 	proficiency in the standard by focusing on	in the standard. (3.3)
varied ways of acquiring knowledge	consistent with research based best	one way to acquire knowledge and skill.	 Does not incorporate teaching
and skill. (3.3)	practices. (3.4)	(3.3)	strategies consistent with research
 Continually incorporates teaching 	 Consistently uses technology for open-ended 	Inconsistently incorporates teaching	based best practices. (3.4)
strategies consistent with research	student work, student reinforcement of	strategies consistent with research based	Does not use technology for open-
based best practices. (3.4)	learning, presentation and planning	best practices. (3.4)	ended student work, student
Continually uses technology for open-	purposes. (3.5)	Inconsistently uses technology for open-	reinforcement of learning, presentation
ended student work, student	 Consistently checks students for 	ended student work, student	or planning purposes. (3.5)
reinforcement of learning, presentation	understanding or for what they learned in	reinforcement of learning, presentation or	Does not check students for
and planning purposes. (3.5)	the lesson; consistently presents material in	planning purposes. (3.5)	understanding or for what they learned
 Continually checks student for 	a clear, logically sequenced and	Inconsistently checks students for	in the lesson; does not present material
understanding or for what they learned	developmentally appropriate format. (3.6)	understanding or for what they learned in	in a clear, logically sequenced and
in the lesson; continually presents	 Consistently uses higher order questioning to 	the lesson; inconsistently presents	developmentally appropriate format.
material in a clear, logically sequenced	guide student thinking and learning and to	material in a clear, logically sequenced	(3.6)
and developmentally appropriate	guide student problem solving. (3.7)	and developmentally appropriate format.	Does not provide higher order
format. (3.6)	 Consistently engages students in authentic 	(3.6)	questioning or problem solving
 Continually uses higher order 	learning by providing real-life connections	Inconsistently uses higher order	opportunities to guide student thinking
questioning to develop thinking and	and examples to more than one	questioning to guide student thinking and	and learning. (3.7)
learning and supports students as they	standard.(3.8)	learning OR primarily uses factual	 Does not provide real-life examples to
consider multiple perspectives during		questioning to guide student thinking and	engage students in learning.(3.8)
problem solving. (3.7)		learning. (3.7)	
 Continually engages students in 		Inconsistently engages students in	
authentic learning by providing real-life		authentic learning by providing real-life	
examples and interdisciplinary		connections and examples to a	
connections. (3.8)		standard.(3.8)	
	1		

Evidence/Documentation

- Lesson plans include goals that are clearly aligned with instructional procedures and assessments, activities build on content knowledge and skill as related to goals
- Lesson plans include connections to students' prior knowledge, background experiences, interests and real-life examples provided
- Lesson plans include higher order questions, problem solving activities, interdisciplinary connections
- Incorporation of technology for student learning and teacher candidate directed activities
- Lesson plans include procedures that are sequenced in a logical and developmentally appropriate format
- Student work samples- evidence of higher order thinking, problem solving, individual and collaborative effort
- Student response to instruction written, verbal
- Level of student engagement individual, peer interaction
- Research-based strategies, cited resources
- Implementation of lesson plan procedures upon observation
- Portfolios
- Integrated unit plans

The following performance standards, performance rubrics are taken from the Teacher candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS), a component of the official teacher candidate evaluation system in Georgia. Additional performance indicators at the proficient level have been added for some standards and are in italics and highlighted. The rubrics have NOT been modified; however, examples of evidence for teacher Candidates have been provided. Teacher Candidates are expected to perform at a minimum at the "Needs Development" and should aspire to perform at the "Proficient" level for most standards. It is expected that teacher candidates will develop during the induction years and continue to move up the continuum of performance.

Performance Standard 4: Differentiated Instruction

The teacher candidate challenges and supports each student's learning by providing appropriate content and developing skills which address individual learning differences.

Performance Indicators at the Proficient Level

4.1 Differentiates the instructional content, process, product, and learning environment to meet individual developmental needs.

4.2 Provides remediation, enrichment, and acceleration to further student understanding of material.

4.3 Uses flexible grouping strategies to encourage appropriate peer interaction and to accommodate learning needs/goals.

4.4 Uses diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment data to inform instructional modifications for individual students.

4.5 Develops critical and creative thinking by providing activities at the appropriate level of challenge for students.

4.6 Demonstrates high learning expectations for all students commensurate with their developmental levels.

Performance Rubrics			
Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Development	Ineffective
The teacher candidate continually facilitates each student's opportunities to learn by engaging him/her in critical and creative thinking and challenging activities tailored to address individual learning needs and interests.	The teacher candidate consistently challenges and supports each student's learning by providing appropriate content and developing skills which address individual learning differences.	The teacher candidate inconsistently challenges students by providing appropriate content or by developing skills which address individual learning differences.	The teacher candidate does not challenge students by providing appropriate content or by developing skills which address individual learning differences.
Examples of Evidence for Teacher Cand	idates at each performance level:		
 Teacher candidate facilitates learning activities on multiple occasions that offer alternative forms of the content, process, product and/or learning environment that appropriately meets individual developmental needs. (4.1) Teacher candidate uses multiple forms of assessment data to inform instruction and provides learning activities that vary in degree of difficulty and student interest. (4.2, 4.4) 	 Teacher candidate facilitates learning activities that offer one alternative form of the two or more of the following: (1) content, (2) process, (3) product and (4) learning environment to meet individual developmental needs. (4.1) The teacher candidate divides content into subgroups, provides student choice (i.e. partner read, computer, independent work), provides instructional opportunities that consider student interest, modifications and accommodations to the content, process, 	 Teacher candidate facilitates learning activities that offer one alternative form of the content, process, product or learning environment. (4.1) Teacher candidate adjusts learning activities to incorporate varying degrees of difficulty or student interest, but the adjustments are not informed by assessment data. (4.2, 4.4) The teacher candidate uses flexible grouping practices that are inflexible with students working in unchanging ability level or management groups only. (4.3) 	 Teacher candidate delivers a lesson that is identical for all students in content, process, product, and learning environment. (4.1) No evidence of differentiation to meet individual developmental needs, including evidence of accommodations and modifications. (4.1) Teacher candidate does not use assessment data to inform instruction and adjust learning activities that incorporates varying degrees of difficulty or student interest. (4.2, 4.4) The teacher candidate does not use flexible grouping practices. (4.3)

Student Evidence:	product and learning environment are evident. (4.1)		
 Complete individualized activities designed to achieve success in specific content and/or skills. (4.1) All students are able to meet learning expectations. (4.3) 	 Teacher candidate uses assessment data to inform instruction and provides learning activities that vary in degree of difficulty or student interest. (4.2, 4.4) Student Evidence: Students meet the standards using differentiated products. (4.1) Students are presented with the standard through differentiated content and processes. (4.1) Most students are able to meet learning expectations. (4.2, 4.4) 	 Students engage with the content through two processes. (4.1) Students demonstrate understanding of the content through two products. (4.1) Some students are able to meet learning 	 Student Evidence: Students demonstrate understanding of instruction through uniform processes and products. (4.1) Students are not able to meet learning expectations. (4.2, 4.4) All students working on the same activities. (4.3) All students seated in the same groups. (4.3)

- Formative and summative assessments
- Rubrics
- Grade sheets
- Portfolios
- Student Work samples

The following performance standards, performance rubrics are taken from the Teacher candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS), a component of the official teacher candidate evaluation system in Georgia. Additional performance indicators at the proficient level have been added for some standards and are in italics and highlighted. The rubrics have NOT been modified; however, examples of evidence for teacher Candidates have been provided. Teacher Candidates are expected to perform at a minimum at the "Needs Development" and should aspire to perform at the "Proficient" level for most standards. It is expected that teacher candidates will develop during the induction years and continue to move up the continuum of performance.

Performance Standard 5: Assessment Strategies

The teacher candidate systematically chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and student population.

Performance Indicators at the Proficient Level

5.1 Aligns student assessment with the established curriculum and benchmarks.

5.2 Involves students in setting learning goals and monitoring their own progress.

5.3 Varies and modifies assessments to determine individual student needs and progress.

5.4 Uses formal and informal assessments for diagnostic, formative, and summative purposes.

5.5 Uses grading practices that report final mastery in relationship to content goals and objectives.

5.6 Uses assessment techniques that are appropriate for the developmental level of students.

5.7 Collaborates with others to develop common assessments, when appropriate.

Performance Rubrics

renormance Rubrics			
Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Development	Ineffective
The teacher continually demonstrates	The teacher systematically and consistently	The teacher inconsistently chooses a	The teacher chooses an inadequate
expertise and leads others to determine	chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative,	variety of diagnostic, formative, and	variety of diagnostic, formative, and
and develop a variety of strategies and	and summative assessment strategies and	summative assessment strategies or the	summative assessment strategies or the
instruments that are valid and	instruments that are valid and appropriate	instruments are sometimes not	instruments are not appropriate for the
appropriate for the content and student	for the content and student population.	appropriate for the content or student	content or student population.
population and guides students to		population.	
monitor and reflect on their own			
academic progress. (Teachers rated as			
Exemplary continually seek ways to			
serve as role models or teacher leaders.)			
Examples of Evidence for Teacher Candid	lates at each performance level:		
 All lesson plans/units contain 	Lesson plans/units contain numerous	 Lesson plans/ units demonstrate some 	 Within lesson plans/units, the candidate
appropriate formal and informal	appropriate formal and informal	use of formal and informal assessments	does not use formal and informal
assessments for diagnostic, formative,	assessments for diagnostic, formative, and	for diagnostic, formative, and summative	assessments for diagnostic, formative,
and summative purposes	summative purposes	purposes	and summative purposes
 Lesson plans/units include a variety of 	 Lesson plans/units include a variety of 	 Lesson plans/units include some variety 	• Within lesson plans/units, the candidate
assessments that meet all students'	assessments that meet most student	of assessments that meet some student	does not or inadequately varies and
needs	needs	needs.	adjusts assessments to meet student
			needs

 Lesson plans/units include a variety of assessments that are linked to all student needs All lesson plans/units include a variety of assessment instruments that are appropriate for the content and student population Candidate consistently involves students in setting learning goals and self-evaluation All lesson plans/units include an appropriate variety of assessments that are modified based on student needs All lesson plans/units include a wide variety of appropriately differentiated assignments/ assessments to meet the needs of most students Involves students in deciding how instruction will be differentiated based on diagnostic data results. Uses engaging strategies for diagnosing student readiness to learn. Explains the different forms of assessments used, why diagnostic work is not graded, and how it is used. Discusses individual and class strengths, misconceptions, and areas of weakness based on diagnostic assessment data. Describes how students have been involved in diagnosing their own strengths and weaknesses. 	 Lesson plans/units include a variety of assessments that are linked to most student needs Most lesson plans/units include a variety of assessment instruments that are appropriate for the content and student population Candidate regularly involves students in setting learning goals and self-evaluation Most lesson plans/units include an appropriate variety of assessments that are modified based on student needs Lesson plans/units include numerous appropriately differentiated assignments/assessments to meet the needs of most students Uses a variety of diagnostic activities at the beginning of most lessons and units to determine the specific needs of the class and of individual students. Identifies students' prior knowledge in the content area(s) and plans to link it to new learning. Includes a variety of diagnostic strategies (e.g., initial writing prompts, informal reading assessments, pre-tests, KWLs, anticipation guides, etc.). Can describe how a unit or lesson was adapted based on diagnostic assessment data. 	 Assessments are included in lesson plans/units but these are sometimes not linked to student needs. Lesson plans/ units include assessment instruments, but these are sometimes inappropriate for the content or student population Candidate sometimes involves students in setting learning goals and/or self-evaluation Lesson plans/units includes some variety of assessments or some assessments that are modified based on student needs Lesson plans/units include some appropriately differentiated assignments/ assessments Includes <i>diagnostic assessments</i> at the beginning of some instructional units. Uses at least one formal or informal diagnostic assessment at the beginning of a lesson or unit. Asks questions to determine prior knowledge during a lesson. Has a general understanding of the students' readiness to learn new content. Understands the need to differentiate lessons to address the needs of students who start the lesson at a lower level of understanding, but is not always certain how to plan for or manage a differentiated lesson. 	 Assessments are not aligned with appropriate objectives, curriculum, and/or benchmarks. Assessment instruments are inappropriate for the content or student population Candidate does not involve students in setting learning goals and/or self-evaluation Lesson plans/units do not include a variety of assessments or assessments or not modified based on student needs Lesson plans do not include appropriately differentiated assignments/ assessments in plans. Is not guided by diagnostic data when planning for <i>differentiation</i>. Does not consider students' prior knowledge to determine starting points for instruction. Does not use informal or formal <i>diagnostic assessments</i> at the beginning of a lesson or unit. Does not probe for prior knowledge during a lesson. Cannot describe how a unit or lesson was planned based on diagnostic data. Cannot articulate how he/she determines the readiness level of students to take on new content.
--	--	--	--

- Evidence/Documentation
- Lesson plans, units
- Formative and summative assessments
- Rubrics

- Grade sheets
- Portfolios

Student work samples

The following performance standards, performance rubrics are taken from the Teacher candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS), a component of the official teacher candidate evaluation system in Georgia. Additional performance indicators at the proficient level have been added for some standards and are in italics and highlighted. The rubrics have NOT been modified; however, examples of evidence for teacher Candidates have been provided. Teacher Candidates are expected to perform at a minimum at the "Needs Development" and should aspire to perform at the "Proficient" level for most standards. It is expected that teacher candidates will develop during the induction years and continue to move up the continuum of performance.

Performance Standard 6: Assessment Uses

The teacher candidate systematically gathers, analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure student progress, to inform instructional content and delivery methods, and to provide timely and constructive feedback to both students and parents.

Performance Indicators at the Proficient Level

6.1 Uses diagnostic assessment data to develop learning goals for students, to differentiate instruction, and to document learning.

6.2 Plans a variety of formal and informal assessments aligned with instructional results to measure student mastery of learning objectives.

6.3 Uses assessment tools for both formative and summative purposes to inform, guide, and adjust instruction.

6.4 Systematically analyzes and uses data to measure student progress, to design appropriate interventions, and to inform long- and short-term instructional decisions.

6.5 Shares accurate results of student progress with students, parents, and key school personnel.

6.6 Provides constructive and frequent feedback to students on their progress toward their learning goals.

6.7 Teaches students how to self-assess and to use metacognitive strategies in support of lifelong learning.

Performance Rubrics

Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Development	□ Ineffective
The teacher candidate continually	The teacher candidate systematically and	The teacher candidate inconsistently	The teacher candidate does not gather,
demonstrates expertise in using data to	consistently gathers, analyzes, and uses	gathers, analyzes, or uses relevant data	analyze, or use relevant data to
measure student progress and leads	relevant data to measure student progress, to	to measure student progress,	measure student progress, to inform
others in the effective use of data to	inform instructional content and delivery	inconsistently uses data to inform	instructional content and delivery
inform instructional decisions. (Teacher	methods, and to provide timely and	instructional content and delivery	methods, or to provide feedback in a
candidates rated as	constructive feedback to both students and	methods, or inconsistently provides	constructive or timely manner.
Exemplary continually seek ways to serve	parents.	timely or constructive feedback.	
as role models or teacher leaders.)			
Examples of Evidence for Teacher Candida	tes at each performance level:		
 Uses formative and summative 	 Uses formative and summative assessments 	Sometimes uses formative and	Do not use and/or uses inappropriate
assessments continually and	systematically	summative assessments	formative and summative
systematically	Consistently checks for students' prior	Sometimes checks for students' prior	assessments
 Continually checks for students' prior 	knowledge and uses data to develop	knowledge and at times uses data to	Does not check for students' prior
knowledge and uses data to develop	instructional plans	develop instructional plans	knowledge and does not use or
instructional plans	 Consistently uses leveled questions to engage 	Sometimes uses leveled questions to	inappropriately uses data to develop
 Continually uses leveled questions to 	students and assess content knowledge	engage students and assess content	instructional plans
engage students and assess content	Consistently uses data to plan and implement	knowledge	Does not use leveled questions to
knowledge	flexible grouping	Sometimes uses data to plan and	engage students and assess content
 Continually uses data to plan and 	 Consistently collects and analyzes data to 	implement flexible grouping	knowledge
implement flexible grouping	identify skill gaps and adjust lessons		

, Candidate Assessment on Performance Standards

 Continually collects and analyzes data to identify skill gaps and adjust lessons Continually provides student commentary aligned to learning goals on student product Continually provides time and scaffolding for student reflection and self-assessment of learning Candidates rated as exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or assist others 	 Consistently provides student commentary aligned to learning goals on student product Continually provides time and scaffolding for student reflection and self-assessment of learning 	 Sometimes collects and analyzes data to identify skill gaps and adjust lessons Sometimes provides student commentary aligned to learning goals on student product Sometimes provides time and scaffolding for student reflection and self-assessment of learning 	 Does not uses data to plan and implement flexible grouping Does not collect or analyzes data to identify skill gaps and adjust lessons Does not provide student commentary aligned to learning goals on student product Does not provide time and scaffolding for student reflection or self- assessment of learning
--	---	--	---

Evidence/Documentation

- Lesson plans, units
- Pre-on-going & post assessments
- Student self-assessments;
- Student feedback
- Conferences
- Candidate electronic portfolios
- Work samples
- Progress reports and report cards
- Differentiated homework
- Communication tools (emails/letters, etc.)

The following performance standards, performance rubrics are taken from the Teacher candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS), a component of the official teacher candidate evaluation system in Georgia. Additional performance indicators at the proficient level have been added for some standards and are in italics and highlighted. The rubrics have NOT been modified; however, examples of evidence for teacher Candidates have been provided. Teacher Candidates are expected to perform at a minimum at the "Needs Development" and should aspire to perform at the "Proficient" level for most standards. It is expected that teacher candidates will develop during the induction years and continue to move up the continuum of performance.

Performance Standard 7: Positive Learning Environment

The teacher candidate provides a well-managed, safe, and orderly environment that is conducive to learning and encourages respect for all.

Performance Indicators at the Proficient Level

7.1 Responds to disruptions in a timely, appropriate manner.

7.2 Establishes clear expectations for classroom rules, routines, and procedures and enforces them consistently and appropriately.

- 7.3 Models caring, fairness, respect, and enthusiasm for learning.
- 7.4 Promotes a climate of trust and teamwork within the classroom.
- 7.5 Promotes respect for and understanding of students' diversity, including but not limited to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, or disability.
- 7.6 Actively listens and pays attention to students' needs and responses.
- 7.7 Creates a warm, attractive, inviting, and supportive classroom environment.

7.8 Arranges the classroom materials and resources to facilitate group and individual activities.

Performance Rubrics			
Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Development	□ Ineffective
The teacher candidate continually engages students in a collaborative and self-directed learning environment where students are encouraged to take risks and ownership of their own learning behavior. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)	The teacher candidate consistently provides a well-managed, safe, and orderly environment that is conducive to learning and encourages respect for all.	The teacher candidate inconsistently provides a well-managed, safe, and orderly environment that is conducive to learning and encourages respect for all.	The teacher candidate inadequately addresses student behavior, displays a negative attitude toward students, ignores safety standards, or does not otherwise provide an orderly environment that is conducive to learning or encourages respect for all.
Examples of Evidence for Teacher Candi	dates at each performance level:	I	L
 Fairness and respect are evident in a climate of democratic practices where everyone matters within orderly, shared governance Expectations for behavior are posted and consequences are administered fairly If/when disruptions occur, low profile intervention strategies with 	 Safe, clean and/or orderly environment Content related bulletin boards; learning stations and centers. Standards posted Materials readily accessible Student work samples displayed Technology used to enhance instruction Rules posted 	 Evidence of respectful communication and correct name pronunciation of some of the learners in the classroom, but not all Minimal evidence of tracking and permanent ability grouping of learner Insufficient evidence of RTI usage Promotes a climate of trust and teamwork for some learners 	 Little or no evidence of respectful communication and correct name pronunciation of the learner Little or no evidence of flexible grouping Little or no evidence of knowledge or implementation of RTI Little or no evidence of efforts to promote trust and teamwork for learners

The classroom is neat, orderly and comfortable	 language that diffuses and de- escalates tension and non- instructional behaviors are used All students report their lack of understanding without punitive consequences There is no evidence of tracking Employs differentiated instruction techniques based on need without permanently grouping the learners, and peer support is evident 	 Evidence of respectful communication and correct name pronunciation of all learners in the classroom Employs differentiated instruction techniques based on need without permanently grouping the learners Uses RTI to furnish and document alternative teaching and/or behavioral management strategies Promotes a climate of trust and teamwork for all learners 	
	and peer support is evidentTasks are relevant and appropriateThe classroom is neat, orderly and	for all learners	

The following performance standards, performance rubrics are taken from the Teacher candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS), a component of the official teacher candidate evaluation system in Georgia. Additional performance indicators at the proficient level have been added for some standards and are in italics and highlighted. The rubrics have NOT been modified; however, examples of evidence for teacher Candidates have been provided. Teacher Candidates are expected to perform at a minimum at the "Needs Development" and should aspire to perform at the "Proficient" level for most standards. It is expected that teacher candidates will develop during the induction years and continue to move up the continuum of performance.

Performance Standard 8: Academically Challenging Environment

The teacher candidate creates a student-centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels and students are self-directed learners.

Performance Indicators at the Proficient Level

8.1 Maximizes instructional time.

8.2 Conveys the message that mistakes should be embraced as a valuable part of learning.

- 8.3 Encourages productivity by providing students with appropriately challenging and relevant material and assignments.
- 8.4 Provides transitions that minimize loss of instructional time.
- 8.5 Communicates high, but reasonable, expectations for student learning.
- 8.6 Provides academic rigor, encourages critical and creative thinking, and pushes students to achieve goals.
- 8.7 Encourages students to explore new ideas and take academic risks.

Performance Rubrics

Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Development		
The teacher candidate continually creates an academic learning environment where students are encouraged to set challenging learning goals and tackle challenging materials. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)	The teacher candidate consistently creates a student-centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels and students are self-directed learners.	The teacher candidate inconsistently provides a student-centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels or where students are self-directed learners.	The teacher candidate does not provide a student-centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels, or where students are self-directed learners.	
Examples of Evidence for Teacher Candidate	es at each performance level:			
 The teacher candidate continually has materials, supplies readily available and accessible during instructional time. (8.1) The teacher candidate continually uses classroom space and resources efficiently to support student learning.(8.1) The teacher candidate continually models how to correct and learn from mistakes. (8.2) The teacher candidate continually provides instructions with a variety of 	 The teacher candidate consistently has materials, supplies readily available and accessible during instructional time. (8.1) The teacher candidate consistently uses classroom space and resources efficiently to support student learning. (8.1) The teacher candidate consistently models how to correct and learn from mistakes. (8.2) The teacher candidate consistently provides instructions with a variety of 	 The teacher candidate inconsistently has materials, supplies readily available and accessible during instructional time. (8.1) The teacher candidate inconsistently uses classroom space and resources efficiently to support student learning. (8.1) The teacher candidate inconsistently models how to correct and learn from mistakes. (8.2) The teacher candidate inconsistently provides instructions with a variety of 	 Teacher candidate does not have materials, supplies readily available and accessible during instructional time. (8.1) Teacher candidate does not use classroom space and resources efficiently to support student learning. (8.1) Teacher candidate fails to model how to correct and learn from mistakes. (8.2) Teacher candidate fails to provide instructions with a variety of activities addressing student interests and abilities. (8.3) 	

activities addressing student interests	activities addressing student interests	activities addressing student interests	Teacher candidate inadequately guides
and abilities. (8.3)	and abilities. (8.3)	and abilities. (8.3)	students among large and small groups
The teacher candidate continually guides	The teacher candidate consistently	The teacher candidate inconsistently	and independent learning. (8.4)
students among large and small groups	guides students among large and small	guides students among large and small	There is no clear plan evident for
and independent learning. (8.4)	groups and independent learning. (8.4)	groups and independent learning. (8.4)	transitions between tasks or classes. (8.4)
The teacher candidate continually	The teacher candidate consistently plans	The teacher candidate inconsistently	Teacher candidate inadequately
exhibits a clear plan for transitions	for transitions between tasks or classes.	plans for transitions between tasks or	communicates lesson objectives and
between tasks or classes. (8.4)	(8.4)	classes. (8.4)	learning outcomes. (8.5)
-	•	The teacher candidate inconsistently	 Teacher candidate inadequately reflects
communicates lesson objectives and	communicates lesson objectives and	communicates lesson objectives and	varied levels and/or scaffolds through
learning outcomes. (8.5)	learning outcomes. (8.5)	learning outcomes. (8.5)	planning and implementation of the
-	•	 The teacher candidate inconsistently 	lesson. (8.6)
reflects varied levels and/or scaffolds	reflects varied levels and/or scaffolds	reflects varied levels and/or scaffolds	 Delivery lacks higher order questioning,
through planning and implementation of	through planning and implementation of	through planning and implementation of	student responsibility, and accountability.
the lesson. (8.6)	the lesson. (8.6)	the lesson. (8.6)	(8.6)
	• The teacher candidate consistently uses a	The teacher candidate inconsistently uses	 Teacher candidate fails to encourage
delivery with higher order questioning,	delivery with higher order questioning,	a delivery with higher order questioning,	creativity and student autonomy in
student responsibility, and accountability.	student responsibility, and accountability.	student responsibility, and accountability.	planning and delivery of the lesson. (8.7)
(8.6)	(8.6)	(8.6)	 Teacher candidate fails to encourage higher order questioning with multiple
	 The teacher candidate consistently encourages creativity and student 	 The teacher candidate inconsistently encourages creativity and student 	higher order questioning with multiple solutions/answers to problems posed
encourages creativity and student autonomy in planning and delivery of the	autonomy in planning and delivery of the	autonomy in planning and delivery of the	during instruction. (8.7)
lesson. (8.7)	lesson. (8.7)	lesson. (8.7)	
	 The teacher candidate consistently 	 The teacher candidate inconsistently 	
encourages higher order questioning	encourages higher order questioning	encourages higher order questioning	
with multiple solutions/answers to	with multiple solutions/answers to	with multiple solutions/answers to	
problems posed during instruction. (8.7)	problems posed during instruction. (8.7)	problems posed during instruction. (8.7)	

Evidence/Documentation

The following performance standards, performance rubrics are taken from the Teacher candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS), a component of the official teacher candidate evaluation system in Georgia. Additional performance indicators at the proficient level have been added for some standards and are in italics and highlighted. The rubrics have NOT been modified; however, examples of evidence for teacher Candidates have been provided. Teacher Candidates are expected to perform at a minimum at the "Needs Development" and should aspire to perform at the "Proficient" level for most standards. It is expected that teacher candidates will develop during the induction years and continue to move up the continuum of performance.

Performance Standard 9: Professionalism

The teacher candidate exhibits a commitment to professional ethics and the school's mission, participates in professional

growth opportunities to support student learning, and contributes to the profession

Performance Indicators at the Proficient Level

9.1 Carries out duties in accordance with federal and state laws, Code of Ethics, and established state and local school board policies, regulations, and practices.

9.2 Maintains professional demeanor and behavior (e.g., appearance, punctuality and attendance).

9.3 Respects and maintains confidentiality.

9.4 Evaluates and identifies areas of personal strengths and weaknesses related to professional skills and their impact on student learning and sets goals for improvement.

9.5 Participates in ongoing professional growth activities based on identified areas for improvement (e.g., mentoring, peer coaching, course work, conferences) and incorporates learning into classroom activities.

9.6 Demonstrates flexibility in adapting to school change.

9.7 Engages in activities outside the classroom intended for school and student enhancement

9.8 Maintains appropriate interactions with students, parents, faculty, and staff.

9.9 Engages in self-reflection about the success of the lesson after teaching; seeks feedback from mentor teacher about teaching and impact on student learning.

Performance Rubrics			
Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Development	□ Ineffective
The teacher candidate continually engages in a high level of professional growth and application of skills and contributes to the development of others and the well-being of the school and community. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders.)	The teacher candidate consistently exhibits a commitment to professional ethics and the school's mission, participates in professional growth opportunities to support student learning, and contributes to the profession.	The teacher candidate inconsistently supports the school's mission or seldom participates in professional growth opportunities.	The teacher candidate shows a disregard toward professional ethics or the school's mission or rarely takes advantage of professional growth opportunities.
Examples of Evidence for Teacher Canc	idates at each performance level:		
 Teacher candidate continually meets all deadlines, follows school policy concerning lesson plans, ethics, and dress codes and maintains accurate attendance documentation. (9.1) (9.2) 	 Teacher candidate consistently meets all deadlines, follows school policy concerning lesson plans, ethics, and dress codes and maintains accurate attendance documentation. (9.1) (9.2) 	 Teacher candidate inconsistently meets all deadlines, follows school policy concerning lesson plans, ethics, and dress codes and maintains accurate attendance documentation. (9.1) (9.2) 	 Teacher candidate inadequately meets all deadlines, follows school policy concerning lesson plans, ethics, and dress codes and maintains accurate attendance documentation. (9.1) (9.2)

 maintains parent contacts, establishes positive interactions with colleagues and maintains confidentiality of students. (9.2) (9.3) (9.8) The teacher candidate continually works with colleagues on grade level planning, observations, and conferences. (9.8) The teacher candidate continually reflects on teaching and establishes personal professional development goals. (9.4) The teacher candidate continually participates and leads professional development growth opportunities. (9.5) The teacher candidate is an involved member of a national, state, and local professional organizations. (9.7) 	 maintains parent contacts, establishes positive interactions with colleagues and maintains confidentiality of students. (9.2) (9.3) (9.8) The teacher candidate consistently works with colleagues on grade level planning, observations, and conferences. (9.8) The teacher candidate consistently reflects on teaching and establishes personal professional development goals. (9.4) The teacher candidate consistently participates and leads professional development growth opportunities. (9.5) The teacher candidate is a member of a national, state, and local professional organizations. (9.7) 	 maintains parent contacts, establishes positive interactions with colleagues and maintains confidentiality of students. (9.2) (9.3) (9.8) The teacher candidate inconsistently works with colleagues on grade level planning, observations, and conferences. (9.8) The teacher candidate inconsistently reflects on teaching and establishes personal professional development goals. (9.4) The teacher candidate inconsistently participates and leads professional development growth opportunities. (9.5) The teacher candidate is a member of a state or local professional organizations.(9.7) 	 maintains parent contacts, establishes positive interactions with colleagues and maintains confidentiality of students. (9.2) (9.3) (9.8) The teacher candidate inadequately works with colleagues on grade level planning, observations, and conferences. (9.8) The teacher candidate inadequately reflects on teaching and establishes personal professional development goals. (9.4) The teacher candidate inadequately participates and leads professional development growth opportunities. (9.5) The teacher candidate unaware of professional organizations. (9.7)
 Attendance logs, dress code (observations) Parent contact log, dispositions evaluation Lesson plans, performance conference 	ation, interaction with colleagues (observation)	l n a timely manner), etc. (9.1)), maintains confidentiality of student records, et	c. (9.3)

Observations, performance conferences, reflection log, etc. (9.9)
Sign-in sheets, agendas, meeting minutes, observations, activity log, etc. (9.7)

The following performance standards, performance rubrics are taken from the Teacher candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS), a component of the official teacher candidate evaluation system in Georgia. Additional performance indicators at the proficient level have been added for some standards and are in italics and highlighted. The rubrics have NOT been modified; however, examples of evidence for teacher Candidates have been provided. Teacher Candidates are expected to perform at a minimum at the "Needs Development" and should aspire to perform at the "Proficient" level for most standards. It is expected that teacher candidates will develop during the induction years and continue to move up the continuum of performance.

Performance Standard 10: Communication

The teacher candidate communicates effectively with students, parents or guardians, district and school personnel, and other stakeholders in ways that enhance student learning.

Performance Indicators at the Proficient Level

10.1 Uses verbal and non-verbal communication techniques to foster positive interactions and promote learning in the classroom and school environment.

10.2 Engages in ongoing communication and shares instructional goals, expectations, and student progress with families in a timely and constructive manner.

10.3 Collaborates and networks with colleagues and community to reach educational decisions that enhance and promote student learning.

10.4 Uses precise language, correct vocabulary and grammar, and appropriate forms of oral and written communication.

10.5 Explains directions, concepts, and lesson content to students in a logical, sequential, and age-appropriate manner.

10.6 Adheres to school and district policies regarding communication of student information.

10.7 Creates a climate of accessibility for parents and students by demonstrating a collaborative and approachable style.

10.8 Listens and responds with cultural awareness, empathy, and understanding to the voice and opinions of stakeholders (parents, community, students, and colleagues).

10.9 Uses modes of communication that are appropriate for a given situation.

10.10 Engages in appropriate conversations and maintains confidentiality of information related to students, parents, faculty, and staff. Such conversations include text messaging, social media, emails, etc.

Performance	Rubrics
-------------	---------

Exemplary	Proficient	Needs Development	Ineffective
The teacher candidate continually uses	The teacher candidate communicates	The teacher candidate inconsistently	The teacher candidate inadequately
communication techniques in a variety	effectively and consistently with students,	communicates with students, parents or	communicates with students, parents or
of situations to proactively inform,	parents or guardians, district and school	guardians, district and school personnel, or	guardians, district and school personnel, or
network, and collaborate with	personnel, and other stakeholders in ways	other stakeholders or communicates in	other stakeholders by poorly acknowledging
stakeholders to enhance student	that enhance student learning.	ways that only partially enhance student	concerns, responding to inquiries, or
learning. (Teacher candidates rated as		learning.	encouraging involvement.
Exemplary continually seek ways to			
serve as role models or teacher			
leaders.)			
Examples of Evidence for Teacher Candi	dates at each performance level:		
Uses both verbal and non-verbal	Uses verbal and/or non-verbal	Uses verbal and/or non-verbal	 Uses unclear or ambiguous verbal and/or
communications, engaging students	communications to promote learning in	communications to address learning in	non-verbal communications that hinder
in positive and meaningful ways to	the classroom and/or the school	the classroom environment (10.1)	learning in the classroom environment
promote learning in the classroom	environment (10.1)		(10.1)
and school environment (10.1)			

- Engages families in positive and encouraging communications about instructional goals, expectations, and student progress in a timely and constructive manner (10.2)
- Facilitates collaboration and networking with colleagues and community to reach educational decisions that enhance and promote student learning (10.3)
- Uses precise language, correct vocabulary and grammar, and appropriate forms of oral and written communication in positive and engaging ways to enhance learning and promote student growth (10.4)
- Uses multiple modalities to explain directions, concepts, and lesson content to students in logical, sequential, and age-appropriate ways (10.5)
- Seeks to understand all school and district policies regarding communication of student information and adheres to above policies (10.6)
- Encourages parent and student involvement by collaborating with parents and students and being consistently available to them (10.7)
- Seeks the voice and opinions of stakeholder in positive ways by listening, responding with cultural awareness and empathy (10.8)
- Selects mode of communication that enhances understanding amongst all involved. Uses a variety of appropriate modes for communication and shifts among modes as necessary (10.9)
- All communication with all parties is appropriate showing awareness of

- Communicates consistently with families about instructional goals, expectations, and student progress in a timely and constructive manner (10.2)
- Collaborates and networks with colleagues and community to reach educational decisions that enhance and promote student learning (10.3)
- Uses precise language, correct vocabulary and grammar, and appropriate forms of oral and written communication (10.4)
- Explains directions, concepts, and lesson content to students in a logical, sequential, and age-appropriate manner (10.5)
- Adheres to school and district policies as needed regarding communication of student information (10.6)
- Creates a climate of accessibility for parents and students by demonstrating a collaborative and approachable style (10.7)
- Listens and responds with cultural awareness, empathy, and understanding to the voice and opinions of stakeholders (parents, community, students, and colleagues) (10.8)
- Selects mode of communication with awareness for enhancing understanding between teacher candidate and student, teacher candidate and colleagues, and teacher candidate and parents. Uses a variety of appropriate modes for communication (10.9)
- Consults and adheres to communication guidelines for professional educators particularly with regard to issues of confidentiality and the use of electronic media. Checks for understanding infrequently yet is rarely insensitive to the communication norms of others (10.10)

- Communicates with families about instructional goals, expectations, or student progress (10.2)
- Collaborates with colleagues only to reach educational decisions that enhance and promote student learning (10.3)
- Uses precise language, correct vocabulary and grammar, and appropriate forms of oral and written communication inconsistently (10.4)
- Explains directions, concepts, and lesson content to students in ways that are not logical, sequential, and/or ageappropriate (10.5)
- Is unaware of school and/or district policies regarding communication of student information (10.6)
- Is accessible, but does not demonstrate a collaborative or an approachable style (10.7)
- Responds to the voice and opinions of stakeholders (parents, community, students, and colleagues) (10.8)
- Uses a variety of appropriate modes for communication but is not intentional in selection with regard to audience or purpose (10.9)
- Is aware of communication guidelines for professional educators and follows them when communicating with students and parents. May treat communication venues informally showing a lack of awareness for the possibility for miscommunication, especially communication with those outside of the classroom (10.10)

Student Evidence:

 Students appear to have difficulty understanding the teacher candidate (10.1)

- Makes no effort to communicate with families about instructional goals, expectations, or student progress (10.2)
- Makes no effort to collaborate or network with colleagues and/or community about educational decisions that enhance and promote student learning (10.3)
- Lacks an awareness of the need for the use of Standard English in oral and/or written communication (10.4)
- Uses unclear or ambiguous language to explain directions, concepts, and lesson content to students. Presentation of content is often not logical, sequential or age-appropriate (10.5)
- Communicates student information that is in direct conflict with either school and/or district policies (10.6)
- Is not accessible for parents and/or students and does not welcome collaboration (10.7)
- Is unresponsive to stakeholder (parents, community, students, and colleagues) voice and opinions (10.8)
- Relies on a single or few modes of communication and as a result the mode is often inappropriate for the situation and is ineffective (10.9)
- Is not aware of or does not adhere to communication guidelines for professional educators. Violates confidentiality rules or does not exercise caution when communicating through electronic media. Frequent miscommunication with students, parents, or faculty occurs as there is no check for understanding (10.10)

Student Evidence:

 Students appear to have "tuned out" the teacher candidate by drawing, daydreaming, or talking to others during teacher candidate communications (10.1)

audience, tone, cultural sensitivity,		 Students ask multiple questions about 	Students ask multiple questions about
and ethical concerns. Adheres strictly	Student Evidence:	directions, concepts, and/or content	directions, concepts, and/or content
to professional guidelines for	Students communicate with the teacher	(10.5)	(10.5)
confidentiality and applies caution	candidate about their performance and	Students ask peers for assistance with	Students have difficulty with tasks after
when communicating electronically.	progress (10.7)	directions, concepts, and/or content	discussing them with the teacher
Consistently checks for	Students may have questions about	(10.5)	candidate (10.5)
understanding to guard against	directions, concepts, and/or content		Students do NOT ask the teacher
miscommunication (10.10)	(10.5)		candidate about their performance and
	Students have questions about teacher		progress (10.7)
Student Evidence:	candidate communications and/or		
 Students reciprocate respectful 	feedback about their performance and		
communications with the teacher	progress (10.7)		
candidate (10.1)			
 Students understand directions, 			
concepts and content due to the			
teacher candidate's logical,			
sequential, and age-appropriate			
communications (10.5)			
 Students are regularly engaged by 			
the teacher candidate and feel			
comfortable asking questions about			
instructional goals and expectations			
(10.7)			
 Students regularly receive feedback 			
regarding their performance and			
progress (10.7)			
Evidence/Documentation		1	
 Blogs 			
 Code of Ethics training signatures 			
 Communication logs 			
 Emails 			
 FERPA training signatures 			
 Informal/formal observation notes 			
 Meeting logs 			
 Mentor teacher candidate notes 			
Newsletters			
 Parent letters 			
 School and district communication pol 	icies		
 Teacher candidate-made content activ 			
 Worksheets, etc. 			
 Unit plans 			
 Websites/web pages. 			